Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/695,390	HA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2112
All Participants:	eants: Status of Application: <u>New</u>	
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 October 2007	Time: <u>11pm</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl	icant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: <i>N/A</i> .		•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
A telephone call was made to Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. on 10/25/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
JOSEPHID TORRES PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
7////		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)